

New interpretation and generalization of the Kameda-Weiner method

Hellis Tamm
Institute of Cybernetics
Tallinn University of Technology

Estonian-Latvian Theory Days, Lilaste, Oct 16, 2016

Main results

- We present a reinterpretation of the Kameda-Weiner method of finding a minimal nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA) of a language, in terms of atoms of the language.
- We introduce a method to generate NFAs from a set of languages, and show that the Kameda-Weiner method is a special case of it.
- Our method provides a unified view of the construction of several known NFAs (e.g. canonical RFSA, the átomaton, and others).

Quotients and atoms

Let L be a regular language over an alphabet Σ .

The **left quotient** of a language L by a word w is the language $w^{-1}L = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid wx \in L\}$.

Let K_0, \dots, K_{n-1} be the quotients of L .

An **atom** of L is any non-empty language of the form

$$\widetilde{K}_0 \cap \widetilde{K}_1 \cap \dots \cap \widetilde{K}_{n-1},$$

where \widetilde{K}_i is either K_i or \overline{K}_i .

Quotients and atoms

Let L be a regular language over an alphabet Σ .

The **left quotient** of a language L by a word w is the language $w^{-1}L = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid wx \in L\}$.

Let K_0, \dots, K_{n-1} be the quotients of L .

An **atom** of L is any non-empty language of the form

$$\widetilde{K}_0 \cap \widetilde{K}_1 \cap \dots \cap \widetilde{K}_{n-1},$$

where \widetilde{K}_i is either K_i or \overline{K}_i .

- Any quotient K_i of L (including L itself) is a union of atoms.
- Atoms define a partition of Σ^* .
- Atoms are the classes of the **left congruence** of L : for $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, x is equivalent to y if for every $u \in \Sigma^*$, $ux \in L$ if and only if $uy \in L$.

The átomaton

Let $K_0 = L$ be the initial quotient of L .

Let $A = \{A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}\}$ be the set of atoms of L .

- An atom is **initial** if it has K_0 (rather than $\overline{K_0}$) as a term.
- Let $I_A \subseteq A$ be the set of initial atoms.
- An atom is **final** if it contains ε .
- There is exactly one final atom A_{m-1} .
- The **átomaton** of L is the NFA $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma, \alpha, I_A, \{A_{m-1}\})$, where $A_j \in \alpha(A_i, a)$ if $A_j \subseteq a^{-1}A_i$.

Some properties of the átomaton

- The language accepted by \mathcal{A} is L .
- The (right) language of state A_i of \mathcal{A} is the atom A_i .
- The reverse automaton \mathcal{A}^R of \mathcal{A} is a minimal DFA for L^R (the reverse language of L).
- The determinized automaton \mathcal{A}^D of \mathcal{A} is a minimal DFA of L .
- If \mathcal{D} is a minimal DFA of L , then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to \mathcal{D}^{RDR} .

Kameda-Weiner matrix

Kameda and Weiner (1970) used minimal DFAs for a language L and its reverse L^R , to form a matrix, and based on the grids in this matrix, a minimal NFA was found.

- Trimmed minimal DFA \mathcal{D}^T of L with a state set Q .
- By Brzozowski's theorem, \mathcal{D}^{RDT} is trim minimal DFA of L^R with a state set $S \subseteq 2^Q \setminus \emptyset$.
- Form a matrix with rows corresponding to states q_i of \mathcal{D} , and columns, to states $S_j \in S$ of \mathcal{D}^{RDT} .
- The (i, j) entry is 1 if $q_i \in S_j$, and 0 otherwise.

Quotient-atom matrix

- We use \mathcal{D}^{RDRT} , the trim átomaton of L , instead of \mathcal{D}^{RDT} , since the state sets of these automata are the same.
- The states of the minimal DFA correspond to quotients, and the states of the átomaton correspond to atoms of L .
- Interpret rows of the matrix as quotients, and columns as atoms of L (exc. the empty quotient and the atom $\overline{K_0} \cap \dots \cap \overline{K_{n-1}}$, if they exist).
- We call this matrix the **quotient-atom matrix** of L .
- Then the (i, j) entry is 1 if and only if $A_j \subseteq K_i$.

Grids and cover of the quotient-atom matrix

- A **grid** g of the matrix is the direct product $g = P \times R$ of a set P of quotients with a set R of atoms, such that every atom in R is a subset of every quotient in P .
- If $g = P \times R$ and $g' = P' \times R'$ are two grids, then $g \subseteq g'$ if and only if $P \subseteq P'$ and $R \subseteq R'$.
- A grid is **maximal** if it is not contained in any other grid.
- A **cover** is a set $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ of grids, such that every pair (K_i, A_j) with $A_j \subseteq K_i$ belongs to some grid g_i in G .

NFA minimization by the Kameda-Weiner method

Let f_G be the function that assigns to every non-empty quotient K_i , the set of grids $g = P \times R$ from a cover G , such that $K_i \in P$.

The **constructed NFA** is $\mathcal{N}_G = (G, \Sigma, \eta_G, I_G, F_G)$, where G is a cover consisting of (maximal) grids, $I_G = f_G(K_0)$ is the set of grids involving the initial quotient K_0 , $g \in F_G$ if and only if $g \in f_G(K_i)$ implies that K_i is a final quotient, and $\eta_G(g, a) = \bigcap_{K_i \in P} f_G(a^{-1}K_i)$ for a grid $g = P \times R$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

NFA minimization by the Kameda-Weiner method

Let f_G be the function that assigns to every non-empty quotient K_i , the set of grids $g = P \times R$ from a cover G , such that $K_i \in P$.

The **constructed NFA** is $\mathcal{N}_G = (G, \Sigma, \eta_G, I_G, F_G)$, where G is a cover consisting of (maximal) grids, $I_G = f_G(K_0)$ is the set of grids involving the initial quotient K_0 , $g \in F_G$ if and only if $g \in f_G(K_i)$ implies that K_i is a final quotient, and $\eta_G(g, a) = \bigcap_{K_i \in P} f_G(a^{-1}K_i)$ for a grid $g = P \times R$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

It may be the case that \mathcal{N}_G does not accept the language L .

A cover G is called **legal** if $L(\mathcal{N}_G) = L$.

To find a minimal NFA of a language L , the method tests the covers of the matrix in the order of increasing size to see if they are legal.

The first legal NFA is a minimal one.

Reinterpretation of the Kameda-Weiner method

Let R be a set of atoms and let $U(R) = \bigcup_{A_j \in R} A_j$.

Theorem

Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ be a cover consisting of maximal grids $g_i = P_i \times R_i$, and let $\mathcal{N}_G = (G, \Sigma, \eta_G, I_G, F_G)$ be the corresponding NFA, obtained by the Kameda-Weiner method. It holds that

- $g_i \in I_G$ if and only if $U(R_i) \subseteq L$,
- $g_i \in F_G$ if and only if $\varepsilon \in U(R_i)$,
- $g_j \in \eta_G(g_i, a)$ if and only if $U(R_j) \subseteq a^{-1}U(R_i)$ holds, for any $g_i, g_j \in G$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

Reinterpretation of the Kameda-Weiner method

Let R be a set of atoms and let $U(R) = \bigcup_{A_j \in R} A_j$.

Theorem

Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ be a cover consisting of maximal grids $g_i = P_i \times R_i$, and let $\mathcal{N}_G = (G, \Sigma, \eta_G, I_G, F_G)$ be the corresponding NFA, obtained by the Kameda-Weiner method. It holds that

- $g_i \in I_G$ if and only if $U(R_i) \subseteq L$,
- $g_i \in F_G$ if and only if $\varepsilon \in U(R_i)$,
- $g_j \in \eta_G(g_i, a)$ if and only if $U(R_j) \subseteq a^{-1}U(R_i)$ holds, for any $g_i, g_j \in G$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

We note that essentially the same approach to the Kameda-Weiner method which uses projections of grids, consisting of subsets of the state set of the DFA \mathcal{D}^{RDT} (corresponding to sets of atoms), was presented by Champarnaud and Coulon (IJFCS, 2005).

Generating automata by a set of languages

Let L be a regular language, and let $K = \{K_0, \dots, K_{n-1}\}$ be the set of quotients of L .

Definition

A set $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ of languages is a **cover** of the quotients of L , or simply, a cover for L , if every quotient K_j of L is a union of some L_i 's.

Note that L itself is a union of some L_i 's, because $L = \varepsilon^{-1}L$.

Generating automata by a set of languages

Let L be a regular language, and let $K = \{K_0, \dots, K_{n-1}\}$ be the set of quotients of L .

Definition

A set $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ of languages is a **cover** of the quotients of L , or simply, a cover for L , if every quotient K_j of L is a union of some L_i 's.

Note that L itself is a union of some L_i 's, because $L = \varepsilon^{-1}L$.

We define the NFA based on a cover $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ as follows:

Definition

The **NFA generated by a cover** $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ for L is defined by $\mathcal{G} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, I, F)$, where $Q = \{q_0, \dots, q_{k-1}\}$, $I = \{q_i \mid L_i \subseteq L\}$, $F = \{q_i \mid \varepsilon \in L_i\}$, and $q_j \in \delta(q_i, a)$ if and only if $L_j \subseteq a^{-1}L_i$ holds for all $q_i, q_j \in Q$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

Some properties of a generated automaton

Let $\mathcal{G} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, I, F)$ be generated by a cover $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ for L . We denote the (right) language of state q_i of \mathcal{G} by $L_{q_i}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proposition

The following properties hold:

- $L_{q_i}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq L_i$ for every $q_i \in Q$.
- $L(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq L$.

Some properties of a generated automaton

Let $\mathcal{G} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, I, F)$ be generated by a cover $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ for L . We denote the (right) language of state q_i of \mathcal{G} by $L_{q_i}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proposition

The following properties hold:

- $L_{q_i}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq L_i$ for every $q_i \in Q$.
- $L(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq L$.

Proposition

If $a^{-1}L_i$ is a union of L_j 's for every L_i and $a \in \Sigma$, then \mathcal{G} accepts L .

However, this condition is not necessary for generated NFA to accept L .

Examples

Example

Consider the set $K = \{K_0, \dots, K_{n-1}\}$ of quotients of L as a cover for L . The NFA \mathcal{G}_K , generated by the set K , is the **saturated version of the minimal DFA** of L .

Since for every quotient K_i and $a \in \Sigma$ there exists some quotient K_j such that $a^{-1}K_i = K_j$, \mathcal{G}_K accepts L .

Examples

Example

Consider the set $K = \{K_0, \dots, K_{n-1}\}$ of quotients of L as a cover for L . The NFA \mathcal{G}_K , generated by the set K , is the **saturated version of the minimal DFA** of L .

Since for every quotient K_i and $a \in \Sigma$ there exists some quotient K_j such that $a^{-1}K_i = K_j$, \mathcal{G}_K accepts L .

Example

Consider the set $K' \subseteq K$ of **prime** quotients of L , that is, those non-empty quotients of L which are not unions of other quotients, as a cover for L . The NFA $\mathcal{G}_{K'}$ generated by K' is known as the **canonical residual finite state automaton (canonical RFSA)** of L .

Since every quotient is a union of prime quotients, so is $a^{-1}K'_i$, for a prime quotient K'_i and $a \in \Sigma$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_{K'}$ accepts L .

Example: generating the átomaton

Example

Consider the set $A = \{A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}\}$ of atoms of L . The set A is a cover for L , because every quotient of L is a union of atoms.

The NFA \mathcal{G}_A , generated by the set A , is the **átomaton** of L .

It is known that for every atom A_i and $a \in \Sigma$, $a^{-1}A_i$ is a union of atoms. Thus, \mathcal{G}_A accepts L .

Kameda-Weiner method as a special case of generating an NFA

The following theorem shows that the NFA minimization method presented by Kameda and Weiner is a special case of generating an NFA:

Theorem

Let $G = \{g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}\}$ be a set of maximal grids, with $g_i = P_i \times R_i$, forming a cover of the quotient-atom matrix of L . The NFA \mathcal{N}_G , obtained by the Kameda-Weiner method using G , is isomorphic to the NFA \mathcal{G}_U , generated by the set $U = \{U(R_0), \dots, U(R_{k-1})\}$.

Generality of our method

Theorem

If there is a trim NFA accepting L , with the set $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ of languages of its states, then the NFA generated by the cover $\{L_0, \dots, L_{k-1}\}$ for L is such an NFA.

If one is interested in finding an NFA for a given language, such that the states of that NFA correspond to certain languages, our method can be used to generate such an NFA if it exists.

If the generated NFA is not such an NFA, then it does not exist.

Conclusions

- Our theory shows that atoms of regular languages have an important role in the Kameda-Weiner method.
- We hope that our contributions provide a useful insight into the problem of NFA minimization.
- We also think that the introduced method of generating NFAs is of interest on its own as shown by the examples.
- This method provides a unified view of the construction of several known NFAs.