# R-trivial idempotent languages recognized by quantum finite automata 

Marats Golovkins Joint work with Maksims Kravcevs, Vasilijs Kravcevs<br>October 3, 2010



## Automata models

|  | "Classical" word acceptance | "Decide-and-halt" word acceptance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Deterministic Reversible <br> Automata | Group Automata (GA) <br> Class: Variety of group languages | Reversible Finite Automata (RFA) <br> [Ambainis and Freivalds] |
| Quantum Finite <br> Automata with pure <br> states | Measure-Once Quantum Finite <br> Automata (MO-QFA) [Moore et al] <br> Class: Variety of group languages | Measure-Many Quantum Finite <br> Automata (MM-QFA) [Kondacs and <br> Watrous] |
| Probabilistic Reversible <br> Automata | "Classical" Probabilistic Reversible <br> Automata (C-PRA) [Golovkins and <br> Kravtsev] <br> Class: Variety of BG (block group) <br> languages | "Decide-and-halt" Probabilistic <br> Reversible Automata (DH-PRA) <br> [Golovkins and Kravtsev] |
| Quantum Finite <br> Automata with mixed <br> states | Latvian Quantum Finite Automata <br> (LQFA) [Ambainis et al, Golovkins and <br> Kravtsev] <br> Class: Variety of BG (block group) <br> languages | Enhanced Quantum Finite Automata <br> (EQFA) [Nayak] |

## Language variety

A class of recognizable languages is a function $\mathbf{C}$ that which associates with each alphabet $A$ a set $A^{*} \mathbf{C}$ of recognizable languages of $A^{*}$.

A language variety is a class of languages $\mathbf{C}$, which is
a) closed under union, intersection and complement,
that is, for all languages $L, L_{1}, L_{2} \in A^{*} C$ :
$L^{`} \in A^{*} C, L_{1} \cup L_{2} \in A^{*} C^{2}, L_{1} \cap L_{2} \in A^{*} \mathbf{C} ;$
b) closed under quotient operations,
that is, for all languages $L \in A^{*} C$ and for all $a \in A$ :
$a^{-1} L \in A^{*} \mathbf{C}, L a^{-1} \in A^{*} C$
c) closed under inverse morphisms,
that is, if $\varphi$ is a morphism $A^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$, then for all languages $L \in B^{*} C$ : $L \varphi^{-1} \in A^{*} C$

- An intersection of two language varieties also is a language variety.
- We say that a class of languages $\boldsymbol{C}$ generates a variety $\boldsymbol{V}$, if $\boldsymbol{V}$ is the smallest variety, which contains $\boldsymbol{C}$.


## Operations on languages: quotient

$L$ - a language in an alphabet $A, a \in A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{-1} L=\left\{v \in A^{*} \mid a v \in L\right\} \\
& L^{-1}=\left\{v \in A^{*} \mid v a \in L\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Operations on languages: morphisms

$L_{1}$ - a language in alphabet $A, L_{2}$ - a language in alphabet B
Morphism:
A function $\varphi: A^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$, such that for all $x, y \in A^{*}$

$$
(x y) \varphi=(x \varphi)(y \varphi)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{L}_{1} \varphi=\left\{\mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{~B}^{*} \mid \exists \mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{~L}_{1}: \mathrm{w} \varphi=\mathrm{v}\right\}
$$

Inverse morphism:

$$
L_{2} \varphi^{-1}=\left\{w \in A^{*} \mid w \varphi \in L_{2}\right\}
$$

## Language varieties: examples

- Variety of groups G: min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction:
Deterministic Reversible Automata,
Measure-Once Quantum Finite Automata
- Variety R (R-trivial languages): min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction:

- Variety $\mathbf{R}^{*}$ G: min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction:



## Language varieties: examples

- Variety L*G: min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction:

- Variety $\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{G}$ : min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction:

- Variety $\mathbf{B G}=\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{L}^{*} \mathbf{G}$

Classical Probabilistic Reversible Automata,
Latvian Quantum Finite Automata

## Language varieties: examples

- Variety $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ (R-trivial idempotent
 languages): min. det. automaton doesn't have this construction.
- Variety $\mathbf{R}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathbf{G}$ : min. det. automaton doesn't have this construction.


## Decide-and-halt automata: RFA

- An RFA recognizes $L$ iff the respective min. det. automaton doesn't have the following construction: [Ambainis, Freivalds 98]:

- The Boolean closure of RFA languages forms the language variety $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{*} \mathbf{G}$ (RFA generates $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{*} \mathbf{G}$ ).

$1 \neq 2$


## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

- Languages don't have the following forbidden construction (the forbidden construction of the first type):


$$
x, y \in A^{*}, 1 \neq 2
$$

Hence they are contained in $\mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{G}$.

## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

- Don't have a whole string of different forbidden constructions (thereafter - forbidden constructions of the second type), of whom the simplest one is the following:
[Ambainis et al., Golovkins et. al., Mercer]
In this case it's not essential whether the deterministic automaton having a forbidden construction and recognizing a language is minimal or not.



## Decide-and-halt automata: forbidden constructions



## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

Hypothesis. MM-QFA = DH-PRA = EQFA.

Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA


## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

Research guidelines:

- Identify all the $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ languages that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.
- Identify all the R-trivial languages and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{*} \mathbf{G}$ languages, that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.
- Identify all the R*G languages that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.


## R-trivial idempotent languages ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ languages)

- Languages, that doesn't contain the following forbidden construction:

- Any R-trivial idempotent language in an alphabet of size n is a disjoint union of the following languages:

$$
a_{0} a_{0} * a_{1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)^{*} \ldots a_{m-1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right)^{*}, \text { where } m \leq n \text { and } i \neq j \rightarrow a_{i} \neq a_{j}
$$



## R-trivial idempotent languages

- Any R-trivial idempotent language in alphabet $A$ is a Boolean closure of the following languages:
$B^{*} a_{i} A^{*}$, where $B \subseteq A$ and $a_{i} \in A$.


## R-trivial idempotent languages

- Exists a deterministic finite automaton that can recognize any $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ language in a given alphabet.



## R-trivial idempotent languages



## R-trivial idempotent languages

- For any $R_{1}$ language $L$, one may construct a linear system of inequalities with the following properties:
a) The system has a solution if and only if the language L is recognizable by PRA-DH.
b) The same system has a solution if and only if the language L is recognizable by QFA.
c) If the system has a solution, one may use the solution to construct a PRA-DH and a QFA that recognize the respective language.
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## R-trivial idempotent languages

- Theorem 1. For any $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ language L , it is decidable whether $L$ can be recognized by PRA-DH or by QFA.
- Theorem 2. PRA-DH and QFA recognize the same set of R-trivial idempotent languages.


## R-trivial idempotent languages:

The relation between forbidden constructions and system of inequalities

- If an $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ language has a forbidden construction of Ambainis et.al., then the related system of linear inequalities is inconsistent.


## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

Research guidelines:

- Identify all the $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ languages that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.
- Identify all the R-trivial languages and $\mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{*} \mathrm{G}$ languages, that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.
- Identify all the R*G languages that may be recognized by decide-and-halt automata.


## R-trivial languages

- Languages that don't have the forbidden construction:


$$
x, y \in A^{*}, 1 \neq 2
$$

- Any R-trivial language is a disjoint union of the following languages:



## Decide-and-halt automata: MM-QFA, DH-PRA, EQFA

- Theorem 3. The Boolean closure of MM-QFA languages contains any R-trivial language. Similarly, DH-PRA un EQFA also generate any R-trivial language.


## Results

- PRA-DH and MM-QFA recognize the same class of Rtrivial idempotent languages.
- It is decidable whether MM-QFA recognize a given $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ language.
- For any recognizable $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ language, it is possible to construct the corresponding PRA-DH and MM-QFA by solving a system of linear inequalities.
- MM-QFA, PRA-DH, EQFA generate any R-trivial language;


## Thank you!

