Denotational semantics for lazy initialization of letrec black holes as exceptions rather than divergence

> Keiko Nakata Institute of Cybernetics, Tallinn

Estonian-Latvian Theory Days, Rakari, 2 October 2010

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Lazy evaluation

Lazy evaluation implements

• on-demand computation — evaluate when necessary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □豆 = のへで

• memorization of computation — evaluate just once

Lazy evaluation in practice

Lazy evaluation is useful in practice.

- Dynamically linked shared libraries, plugins
- Lazy class initialization in Java and F#
- Lazy file initialization in F#
- Alice ML
- OSGi, NetBeans (through bundles)
- Eclipse

Syntax

Expressions
$$M, N$$
 $::=$ $n | s | x | \lambda x.M | M N | \bullet$ $|$ let rec $x_1 = M_1$ and ... and $x_n = M_n$ in M $|$ $M; N |$ print M Results V $::=$ $n | s | \lambda x.M | \bullet$ Types τ $::=$ nat | string | $\tau_1 \to \tau_2$

Lazy evaluation for letrec

Lazy evaluation provides a useful measure to initialize (unrestricted) recursive bindings

let rec $x_1 = M_1$ and ... and $x_n = M_n$ in N

where M_i 's are arbitrary expressions.

- On-demand computation to find a most successful initialization order.
 - the initialization succeeds if and only if there is a non-circular order in which the bindings can be initialized.
- Memorization for value recursion
 - initialization may perform side-effects which are produced just once

Examples

let rec
$$x = \text{print } "hi"$$
 and $y = \text{print } "bye"$ in $x \Rightarrow hi$

let rec
$$x = \text{print "hi"}$$
 and $y = \text{print "bye"}$ in $x; x \Rightarrow hi$

let rec x = y and y = print "bye" and z = print "hi" in x $<math>\Rightarrow$ bye

Black holes as exceptions

Circular initialization, or black holes, signal a runtime exception.

let rec x = x in x \Rightarrow exceptionlet rec $x = (\lambda y. y) x$ in x \Rightarrow exceptionlet rec $f = \lambda x.f$ in f \Rightarrow terminationlet rec $f = \lambda x.f x$ in f 0 \Rightarrow divergence

NB. Traditionally black holes denote divergence. (But many programming languages implement black holes as exceptions.)

Natural semantics

Judgment form

 $\langle \Psi \rangle M \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle V$ expresses that an expression *M* in an initial heap Ψ evaluates to a result *V* with the heap being Φ .

Inference rules of the Natural semantics

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Result} \\ \langle \Psi \rangle \textit{ V} \Downarrow \langle \Psi \rangle \textit{ V} \end{array}$

Application $\langle \Psi \rangle M_1 \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle \lambda x. N \quad \langle \Phi[x' \mapsto M_2] \rangle N[x'/x] \Downarrow \langle \Psi' \rangle V \quad x' \text{ fresh}$ $\langle \Psi \rangle M_1 M_2 \Downarrow \langle \Psi' \rangle V$ Variable $\langle \Psi[x \mapsto \bullet] \rangle \Psi(x) \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle V$ $\langle \Psi \rangle x \Downarrow \langle \Phi[x \mapsto V] \rangle V$ Letrec $\langle \Psi[x'_1 \mapsto M'_1, \dots, x'_n \mapsto M'_n] \rangle N' \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle V \quad x'_1, \dots, x'_n \text{ fresh}$ $\langle \Psi \rangle$ let rec $x_1 = M_1, \ldots, x_n = M_n$ in $N \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle V$ where $M'_{i} = M_{i}[x'_{1}/x_{1}] \dots [x'_{n}/x_{n}]$ $Error_{\beta}$ $\langle \Psi \rangle \mathit{M}_1 \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle \bullet$ $\langle \Psi \rangle M_1 M_2 \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle \bullet$

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < < つ < < </p>

How to detect black holes

$$\frac{\langle x' \mapsto \bullet \rangle \bullet \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \rangle \bullet}{\langle x' \mapsto x' \rangle x' \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \rangle \bullet}$$

$$\overline{\langle \rangle \text{ let rec } x = x \text{ in } x \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \rangle \bullet}$$

$$\frac{\langle x' \mapsto \bullet, y' \mapsto \bullet \rangle y' \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \text{ and } y' = \bullet \rangle \bullet}{\langle x' \mapsto y', y' \mapsto \bullet \rangle x' \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \text{ and } y' = \bullet \rangle \bullet}{\langle x' \mapsto y', y' \mapsto x' \rangle y' \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \text{ and } y' = \bullet \rangle \bullet}$$

$$\langle \rangle \text{ let rec } x = y \text{ and } y = x \text{ in } y \Downarrow \langle x' \mapsto \bullet \text{ and } y' = \bullet \rangle \bullet$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Lazy evaluation as a most successful initialization strategy of recursive bindings

The initialization succeeds if and only if there is a non-circular order in which the bindings can be initialized.

- The operational semantics searches such an order by on-demand computation.
- The denotational semantics searches such one by
 - initializing recursive bindings in parallel and
 - choosing the most successful result as the denotation.

(Denotational semantics does not have evaluation order.)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Typing

 $n: \text{nat} \quad s: \text{string} \quad x: \text{type}(x) \quad \bullet: \tau$ $\frac{x:\tau_1 \quad M:\tau_2}{\lambda x.M:\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \frac{M:\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad N:\tau_1}{M \ N:\tau_2}$ $\frac{x_1:\tau_1 \quad \dots \quad x_n:\tau_n \quad M_1:\tau_1 \quad \dots \quad M_n:\tau_n \quad N:\tau}{\text{let rec } x_1 = M_1 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } x_n = M_n \text{ in } N:\tau}$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Denotational semantics

An expression *M* of type τ denotes an element of $(V_{\tau} + \text{Err}_{\tau})_{\perp}$.

 $\operatorname{Err}_{\tau}$ is a singleton, whose only element is \bullet_{τ} .

 V_{τ} denotes proper values of type τ and is defined by

$$V_{\mathsf{nat}} = N$$
 $V_{\tau_0 o au_1} = [(V_{\tau_0} + \mathsf{Err}_{\tau_0})_{\perp} o (V_{\tau_1} + \mathsf{Err}_{ au_1})_{\perp}]$

Notations

Denotational semantics

For $d \in (V_{\tau_0 \to \tau_1} + \operatorname{Err}_{\tau_0 \to \tau_1})_{\perp}$ and $d' \in (V_{\tau_0} + \operatorname{Err}_{\tau_0})_{\perp}$, application of d to d' is defined by

$$d(d') = \begin{cases} \perp_{\tau_1} & \text{when } d = \perp_{\tau_0 \to \tau_1} \\ \bullet_{\tau_1} & \text{when } d = \bullet_{\tau_0 \to \tau_1} \\ \varphi(d') & \text{when } d = \varphi \in V_{\tau_0 \to \tau_1} \end{cases}$$

Moreover we write $(d)^*$ to denote the strict version of *d* on both \perp and \bullet , i.e.,

$$(d)^*(d') = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\perp}_{ au_1} & ext{when } d = arphi ext{ and } d' = oldsymbol{\perp}_{ au_0} \ oldsymbol{\bullet}_{ au_1} & ext{when } d = arphi ext{ and } d' = oldsymbol{\bullet}_{ au_0} \ d(d') & ext{otherwise} \end{array}
ight.$$

An environment, ρ , maps variables to denotations: $\rho(x) \in (V_{\tau} + \text{Err}_{\tau})_{\perp}$ where $x : \tau$. The least environment, ρ_{\perp} , maps all variables to bottom elements.

Semantic function

Denotational semantics

The semantic function $[M : \tau]_{\rho}$ assigns a denotation to a typing derivation $M : \tau$ under an environment ρ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} n : \tau \end{bmatrix}_{\rho} = n$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x : \tau \end{bmatrix}_{\rho} = \rho(x)$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet : \tau \end{bmatrix}_{\rho} = \bullet_{\tau}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda x.M : \tau_0 \to \tau_1 \end{bmatrix}_{\rho} = \lambda \nu . \llbracket M : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho} [x \mapsto \nu]$$
$$\llbracket M^{\tau_0 \to \tau_1} N^{\tau_0} : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho} = (\llbracket M : \tau_0 \to \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho}) (\llbracket N : \tau_0 \rrbracket_{\rho})$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{let rec } x_1 = M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n = M_n^{\tau_n} \text{ in } N : \tau \rrbracket_{\rho} = \llbracket N : \tau \rrbracket_{\{x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}\}_{\rho}^{\rho}}$$

Semantic function for heaps

Denotational semantics

$$\{\!\!\{x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(0)} = \rho[x_1 \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_n}]$$

$$\{\!\!\{x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(m+1)} =$$

$$\mu\rho' \rho[x_1 \mapsto [M_1 : \tau_1]_{\bullet} : [M_1 : \tau_1]_{\bullet'} = x_n \mapsto [M_n : \tau_n]_{\bullet} : [M_n : \tau_n]_{\bullet'} =$$

(0)

 $\mu \rho \cdot \rho [\mathbf{X}_1 \mapsto \llbracket \mathbf{M}_1 : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho_m} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{M}_1 : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho'}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \mapsto \llbracket \mathbf{M}_n : \tau_n \rrbracket_{\rho_m} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{M}_n : \tau_n \rrbracket_{\rho'}]$ where $\rho_m = \{\!\!\{\mathbf{X}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{M}_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \mapsto \mathbf{M}_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\}_{\rho}^{(m)}$

 $d \cdot d'$ abbreviates $((\lambda y.\lambda x.x)^*(d))(d')$

Denotation of heaps

Denotational semantics The denotation of a heap $\Psi = x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \ldots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}$ under an environment ρ is computed as follows.

1. Pre-initialize to black holes.

 $\rho_0 = \rho[x_1 \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_1}, \ldots, x_n \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_n}].$

- 2. Compute the denotation of M_i : τ_i under ρ_0 .
- 3. Compute the fixed-point semantics for M_i 's whose evaluation was successful under ρ_0 .

$$\rho_1 = \mu \rho' \cdot \rho[x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n]$$
 where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} \bullet_{\tau_i} & \text{when } \llbracket M_i : \tau_i \rrbracket_{\rho_0} = \bullet_{\tau_i} \\ \llbracket M_i : \tau_i \rrbracket_{\rho'} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- 4. Compute the denotation of M_i : τ_i under ρ_1 .
- 5. Compute the fixed-point semantics for M_i 's whose evaluation was successful under ρ_1 .

6. ...

Denotation of heaps (cont.)

Denotational semantics

Generally, ρ_{m+1} is given by taking the fixed-point semantics for the recursive bindings whose initialization is successful under the environment ρ_m

$$\begin{split} \rho_{m+1} &= \mu \rho' . \rho[x_1 \mapsto d_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto d_n] \\ \text{where } d_i &= \begin{cases} \bullet_{\tau_i} & \text{when } \llbracket M_i : \tau_i \rrbracket_{\rho_m} = \bullet_{\tau_i} \\ \llbracket M_i : \tau_i \rrbracket_{\rho'} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

This process is iterated for *n* times; it converges by then:

$$\forall m, \{\!\!\{\Psi\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(n)} = \{\!\!\{\Psi\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(n+m)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □豆 = のへで

Semantic function for heaps

Denotational semantics

$$\{\!\!\{x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(0)} = \rho[x_1 \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto \bullet_{\tau_n}]$$

$$\{\!\!\{x_1 \mapsto M_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, x_n \mapsto M_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\!\}_{\rho}^{(m+1)} =$$

$$\mu\rho' \rho[x_1 \mapsto [M_1 : \tau_1]_{\bullet} : [M_1 : \tau_1]_{\bullet'} = x_n \mapsto [M_n : \tau_n]_{\bullet} : [M_n : \tau_n]_{\bullet'} =$$

(0)

 $\mu \rho \cdot \rho [\mathbf{X}_1 \mapsto \llbracket \mathbf{M}_1 : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho_m} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{M}_1 : \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\rho'}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \mapsto \llbracket \mathbf{M}_n : \tau_n \rrbracket_{\rho_m} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{M}_n : \tau_n \rrbracket_{\rho'}]$ where $\rho_m = \{\!\!\{\mathbf{X}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{M}_1^{\tau_1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \mapsto \mathbf{M}_n^{\tau_n}\}\!\}_{\rho}^{(m)}$

 $d \cdot d'$ abbreviates $((\lambda y.\lambda x.x)^*(d))(d')$

Adequacy

Denotational semantics

Evaluations preserve the denotations of expressions.

Proposition For any typed expression $M : \tau$, if $\langle \rangle M \Downarrow \langle \Psi \rangle V$, then $V : \tau$ and $\llbracket M : \tau \rrbracket_{\rho_{\perp}} = \llbracket V : \tau \rrbracket_{\{\!\!\{\Psi\}\!\!\}_{\rho_{\perp}}}$.

An expression evaluates to a result if and only if its denotation is non-bottom.

Proposition

For any typed expression $M : \tau$, $\llbracket M : \tau \rrbracket_{\rho_{\perp}} \neq \bot_{\tau}$ iff there are Φ and V such that $\langle \rangle M \Downarrow \langle \Phi \rangle V$.

Operational soundness of equational laws for letrec

```
\beta_{need}
(\lambda x.M) N = \text{let rec } x = N \text{ in } M
lift
(let rec D in M) N = let rec D in M N
deref
let rec x = V, D in C[x] = let rec x = V, D in C[V]
deref env
let rec x = C[x'], x' = V, D in M = let rec x = C[V], x' = V, D in M
assoc
let rec x = (\text{let rec } D \text{ in } M), D' \text{ in } N = \text{let rec } D, x = M, D' \text{ in } N
```

where *D* abbreviates $x_1 = M_1 \dots x_n = M_n$.

Monadic framework for effectful unrestricted value recursion

Joint work with Masahito Hasegawa

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to T B}{\Gamma \vdash L^* : A \to T B} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \eta_A : A \to T A} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \bullet_A : T A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x_1 : T A_1, \dots, x_n : T A_n \vdash L_1 : T A_1}{\Gamma, x_1 : T A_1, \dots, x_n : T A_n \vdash L_n : T A_n}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mu(x_1^{T A_1}, \dots, x_n^{T A_n}) . (L_1, \dots, L_n) : T A_1 \times \dots T A_n}$$

Modeled in a target language given by a cartsian closed category equipped with a strong monad and a uniform T-fixed point operator and a family of black hole constants.

Black holes are exceptions!