Subadditive functions in one and many variables: A tutorial

Silvio Capobianco Department of Software Science, Tallinn University of Technology silvio.capobianco@taltech.ee

Joint Estonian-Latvian Theory Days 2018 12–13–14 October 2018

Revision: 14 October 2018

Introduction

- A function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$, where (S, \cdot) is a semigroup, is *subadditive* if $f(x \cdot y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for every $x, y \in S$.
- Subadditivity appears in several contexts:
 - symbolic dynamics (Fekete's lemma)
 - group theory (Ornstein-Weiss lemma)
- We will go through the general properties of subadditive functions and discuss some special cases.
- We will then consider functions of many variables which are subadditive in *each one, however given the others*.
- We will conclude with a discussion of a multivariate version of Fekete's lemma.

Bibliography

- E. Hille, Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, AMS 1948.
- SC. Multidimensional cellular automata and generalization of Fekete's lemma. Disc. Math. Theor. Comp. Sci. 10 (2008), 95–104. dmtcs.episciences.org/442
- F. Krieger. The Ornstein-Weiss lemma for discrete amenable groups. Max Planck Institute for Mathematics Bonn, 2010, MPIM Preprint 2010-48.

4 . .

Notation and conventions

- We denote by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the family of subsets of X, and by $\mathcal{PF}(X)$ the family of its finite subsets.
- For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the *slice* from m to n is the set

$$[m:n] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \le x \le n\}$$

• The Iverson brackets are defined on the class of predicates as

$$[P]_{I} = \text{if } P \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$$

If α is either infinite or undefined, we set $\alpha \cdot [\operatorname{False}]_I = 0$.

- If x is a variable taking values in A and E(a) ∈ B for every a ∈ A, we denote by λx : A. E(x) : B the function that associates each a ∈ A to the value E(a) ∈ B.
 If A and B are clear from the context, we omit them.
- We assume that all the functions discussed here are *Borel measurable*.

Definition and examples

Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup. A function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ is *subadditive* if

$$f(x \cdot y) \le f(x) + f(y) \ \forall x, y \in S$$

Examples:

- The length of a vector in the plane.
- The length of a word over an alphabet.
- The length of a *reduced word* in the *free group* over a given set.
- The *number of elements* of a finite subset of a given set, where the semigroup operation is the union.
- The *reciprocal* of a positive real number.
- The *square root* of a positive real number.
- The square root of the opposite of a negative real number.
- The *opposite of the square* of a positive real number.
- The *ceiling* of a real number: $\lceil x \rceil = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \le k\}$.

What about additive functions?

They are far less interesting:

- Every additive function f(x) defined on rational numbers is *linear*: It must be f(x) = x ⋅ f(1) for every x ∈ Q.
- From this: every *continuous* additive function on the real line is linear.
- Sierpiński, 1920: the only *Lebesgue measurable* additive functions on the real line are the linear ones.
- The following function is subadditive in \mathbb{R} , Borel measurable, and everywhere discontinuous:

$$f(x) = 2 \cdot [x \in \mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{I}} + 3 \cdot [x \notin \mathbb{Q}]_{\mathrm{I}}$$

Some criteria for subadditivity

- f(x) is subadditive on \mathbb{R}_- iff f(-x) is subadditive on \mathbb{R}_+ .
- Any linear combination *with nonnegative coefficients* of subadditive functions is subadditive.
- If e is an idempotent of S, then $f(e) \ge 0$. As a consequence: subadditivity *is not* invariant by translations.
- If Σ is a subsemigroup of S and $0 \le a \le b \le 2a$, then $\lambda x \cdot a \cdot [x \in \Sigma]_{I} + b \cdot [x \notin \Sigma]_{I}$ is subadditive.
- If f(x) = -x and g(x) = ⌈x⌉, then f(g(x)) = ⌈x⌉ is not subadditive on ℝ, but g(f(x)) = ⌈-x⌉ is.
- If f is subadditive, then so is $f^+(x) = \max(f(x), 0)$.
- If f is subadditive and negative and g is nondecreasing and positive, then f(x)g(x) is subadditive.

For example, $-x^{\alpha}e^{\beta x}$ is subadditive on \mathbb{R}_+ for every $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$.

・ コット (雪) ・ モ) ・ ヨ)

Infinitary subadditive functions: Conventions

We may consider functions taking values in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}=\mathbb{R}\cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}.$ We set:

• $x + \infty = +\infty$ unless $x = -\infty$.

 $x - \infty = -\infty$ unless $x = +\infty$.

- If x > 0, then $x \cdot +\infty = +\infty$ and $x \cdot -\infty = -\infty$. If x < 0, then $x \cdot +\infty = -\infty$ and $x \cdot -\infty = +\infty$. With this convention, $-(+\infty) = -\infty$ and $-(-\infty) = +\infty$.
- We do not define $+\infty \infty$, $0 \cdot +\infty$, or $0 \cdot -\infty$ unless the 0 comes from the lverson brackets.

We only consider the subadditivity inequality when the right-hand side is defined.

More examples

- $\lambda x . -\infty$ is subadditive on $\mathbb{R} \dots$
- ... and so is $\lambda \, x \, \boldsymbol{.} + \infty \cdot \left[x > 0 \right]_I \infty \cdot \left[x \leq 0 \right]_I \, \ldots$
- ... and so is $\lambda x \cdot +\infty \cdot [x \leq 1 \text{ or } x = 2]_{I}$.
- If the functions $f_n(x)$ are nonnegative and subadditive and the coefficients a_n are nonnegative, then $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n f_n(x)$ is subadditive (and nonnegative, and possibly infinitary).
- If $\Sigma_n = \{m/n \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then

$$f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} 2^{-n} \left[x \in \Sigma_n \right]_{\mathrm{I}}$$

is subadditive, continuous at every irrational number, and discontinuous at every rational number.

Fekete's lemma

Let $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ be a subadditive function. **1** If $S = \mathbb{R}_+$ or $S = \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\ell_{+} = \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \inf_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is not $+\infty$. but can be $-\infty$. **2** If $S = \mathbb{R}_{-}$ or $S = \mathbb{Z}_{-}$, then $\ell_{-} = \lim_{x \to -\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \sup_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}$ is not $-\infty$, but can be $+\infty$. **3** If $S = \mathbb{R}$ or $S = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\ell_{-} \leq \ell_{+}$, and both are finite. Corollary: a subadditive function on \mathbb{R}_+ can go to $+\infty$ at most linearly.

Application: Entropy of a subshift

A *subshift* on a finite alphabet A is a subset X of the set $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the *bi-infinite words* on A such that:

- X is *invariant by translations:* If $x \in X$, then $\lambda t \cdot x(t+s) \in X$ for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- X is closed in the prodiscrete topology: If {x_n}_{n≥0} ⊆ X and for some x ∈ A^ℤ and every t ∈ ℤ there are at most finitely many n such that x_n(t) ≠ x(t), then x ∈ X.

The *language* of the subshift X is the set $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of all the words $w \in A^*$ which are factors of some $x \in X$.

The *entropy* of the subshift X is the quantity:

$$h(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{L}(X) \cap A^n|}{n}$$

The Ornstein-Weiss lemma for countable groups

Let G be a countable group. Suppose G has a Følner sequence of finite subsets F_n such that:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|gF_n\setminus F_n|}{|F_n|}=0 \ \forall g\in G$$

Let $f : \mathcal{PF}(G) \to \mathbb{R}$ be:

• subadditive with respect to union, *i.e.*, $f(U \cup V) \le f(U) + f(V)$, and • such that f(gA) = f(A) for every $g \in G$ and $A \in \mathcal{PF}(G)$. Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f(F_n)}{|F_n|}$$

exists, and *does not* depend on the choice of F_n .

Entropy of a subshift on a countable group

Let G be a group and F_n a Følner sequence (not all groups have).

• A *subshift* X of A^G , where A is an alphabet, can be defined as for $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by requiring closure by translations:

$$\sigma_g(X) = X$$
 where $\sigma_g(c) = \lambda h.c(gh)$

- For $U \in \mathcal{PF}(G)$ let $\mathcal{L}_U(X)$ be the set of *patterns* $p : U \to A$ which appear in elements of X.
- Then $f(U) = \log |\mathcal{L}_U(X)|$ is subadditive and f(gU) = f(U) for every g and U.
- By the Ornstein-Weiss lemma, we can define:

$$h(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{L}_{F_n}(X)|}{|F_n|}$$

This coincides with the standard definition for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $F_n = [1:n]$.

Ornstein-Weiss does not directly generalize Fekete

The sequence $F_n = [1:n]$ is a Følner sequence in \mathbb{Z} . It then *seems* intuitive to see Fekete's lemma as an application of the Ornstein-Weiss lemma ...

- Let $f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be subadditive.
- The function $\phi(U) = f(|U|) \cdot [U \neq \emptyset]_I$ looks subadditive ...
- ... but is not necessarily so!
- For example, if f(x) = -x, and $U \subseteq V$ is nonempty, then:

 $\varphi(U \cup V) = -|U \cup V| = -|V| > -|U| - |V| = \varphi(U) + \varphi(V)$

• However, if f is also nondecreasing, then ϕ is subadditive.

・ロット (雪) (目) (日) (日)

Componentwise subadditivity

Let S_1, \ldots, S_d be semigroups and let $S = \prod_{i=1}^d S_i$.

- A function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ is subadditive in x_i (independently of the other variables) if, however given $x_j \in S_j$ for every $j \in [1:d] \setminus \{i\}$, the function $\lambda x_i \cdot f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_d)$ is subadditive on S_i .
- *f* is *componentwise subadditive* if it is subadditive in each variable independently of the others.

Componentwise subadditivity is not subadditivity in the product!

• $f(x, y) = \sqrt{xy}$ is componentwise subadditive on \mathbb{R}^2_+ . However, f(3, 3) = 3 and $f(1, 2) + f(2, 1) = 2\sqrt{2} < 3$.

Directed sets

A *directed set* is a partially ordered set $\mathcal{U} = (U, \preceq)$ with the following additional property: for every $u, v \in U$, there exists $w \in U$ such that $u \preceq w$ and $v \preceq w$.

- Every totally ordered set is a directed set.
- The *power set* of any set, ordered by inclusion, is a directed set.
- The set of *finite decompositions* of the interval [*a*, *b*], where *x* ≤ *y* iff each point of *x* is also a point of *y*, is a directed set.
- The set of *open neighborhoods* of a real number, order by *reverse inclusion*, is a net.
- Every product of directed sets with the *product ordering*

$$\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{U} = \left(\prod_{i \in I} U, \preceq_{\Pi}\right) \text{ where } x \preceq_{\Pi} y \text{ iff } x_i \preceq_i y_i \forall i \in I$$

is a directed set.

Limits on directed sets

If
$$\mathcal{U} = (U, \preceq)$$
 is a directed set and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we set:

$$\liminf_{u \to \mathcal{U}} f(u) = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \inf_{v \succeq u} f(v) \text{ and } \limsup_{u \to \mathcal{U}} f(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{v \succeq u} f(v)$$

If the two coincide, the common value L is the *limit* of f in \mathcal{U} . Then $\lim_{u\to\mathcal{U}} f(u) = L \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists u \in U : \forall v \succeq u. \; |f(v) - L| < \varepsilon$$

э

Fekete's lemma in several positive integer variables

Theorem (Capobianco, 2008)

Let $\mathcal{U} = (\mathbb{Z}^d_+, \leq_{\Pi})$ and let $f : \mathbb{Z}^d_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be componentwise subadditive. Then:

$$\lim_{(x_1,\ldots,x^d)\to\mathcal{U}}\frac{f(x_1,\ldots,x_d)}{x_1\cdots x_d} = \inf_{(x_1,\ldots,x^d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d_+}\frac{f(x_1,\ldots,x_d)}{x_1\cdots x_d}$$

Ideas for the proof: (d = 2 for simplicity)

- Fix $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Write $x_i = q_i \cdot t_i$ with $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i \in [1:t_i]$.
- Then $f(x_1, x_2) \le q_1 q_2 f(t_1, t_2) + q_1 f(t_1, t_2) + q_2 f(t_1, t_2) + f(t_1, t_2)$.
- By observing that $\lim_{x_i \to \infty} q_i/x_i = 1/t_i$ we conclude that

$$\limsup_{(x_1,x_2)\to\mathcal{U}}\frac{f(x_1,x_2)}{x_1\cdot x_2} \leq \frac{f(t_1,t_2)}{t_1\cdot t_2}$$

• By arbitrariness of t_1 and t_2 the thesis follows.

Application: Loss of variety in cellular automata

For a *d*-dimensional *cellular automaton* with *s* states define the *output* through $E = \prod_{i=1}^{d} [1:x_i]$ as the number $Out(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ of patterns on *E* which appear in images of configurations by the CA, and the *loss of variety* through *E* as:

$$\Lambda(x_1,\ldots,x_d)=x_1\cdots x_d-\log_s \operatorname{Out}(x_1,\ldots,x_d)$$

Then exactly one of the following happens:

- **①** The CA is surjective and Λ is identically zero.
- ② The CA is not surjective and for every $K \ge 0, r_1, ..., r_d \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $t_1, ..., t_d \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, if $x_j \ge t_j$ for every $j \in [1:d]$, then

$$\Lambda(x_1,\ldots,x_d) \ge (x_1+r_1)\cdots(x_d+r_d)-x_1\cdots x_d+K$$

That is: loss of variety can be exploited to *encode the state of the neighborhood*. (Toffoli, C. and Mentrasti, 2008)

・ロト ・ 一下 ・ ト ・ ト ・ ト

Does the same argument work for real variables?

Not so fast:

- Our argument exploits that the range of r_1 and r_2 is finite.
- The argument can be adapted to real variables, but then, r_1 and r_2 will range in an *interval*.
- Now, it is true that a *finitary* subadditive function of *one* real variable is bounded on compact intervals. (This is Theorem 6.4.1 in Hille's textbook.)
- But boundedness in each variable given the other *does not* imply, alone, boundedness in both at the same time!

A counterexample

Let $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be so that h(t) is

- the denominator of the representation of *t* as an irreducible fraction if *t* is rational, or
- 0 if t is irrational.

Then $f: \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

 $f(x, y) = \min(h(x), h(y))$

is such that:

• $\lambda x \cdot f(x, y)$ is bounded in [1, 2] for every $y \in [1, 2]$;

2 $\lambda y \cdot f(x, y)$ is bounded in [1,2] for every $x \in [1,2]$.

However, f is not bounded in $[1,2] \times [1,2]$: if p_n is the *n*th prime number, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(1+1/p_n, 1+1/p_n) = +\infty$.

・ロット (雪) (目) (日) (日)

Octants

Let $w \in \{+, -\}^d$. The *octant* indexed by w is the poset

$$\mathcal{R}_w = \prod_{i=1}^d (\mathbb{R}_{w_i}, \leq_{w_i})$$

where \leq_+ is the standard ordering and \leq_- is the reverse ordering. For example, $\mathcal{R}_{+-} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_-$ with the ordering:

$$(x, y) \leq (x', y')$$
 if and only if $x \leq x'$ and $y \geq y'$

The *main octant* \mathcal{R}_{+^d} of \mathbb{R}^d is denoted simply by \mathcal{R}_+ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Boundedness on compacts

Theorem (cf. Hille 1948, Theorem 6.4.1)

Let S be an octant of \mathbb{R}^d and let $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ be either subadditive or componentwise subadditive.

- If f never takes value $+\infty$, then it is bounded from above in every compact subset of S.
- If f is everywhere finite in S, then it is bounded in every compact subset of S.

Proof: elaboration on the original one, with a different bound on the measure of a certain set.

Fekete's lemma in many real variables

Let $d \ge 1$, let $w \in \{+, -\}^d$, and let $f : \mathbb{R}_w \to \mathbb{R}$ be componentwise subadditive.

() If the number of minus signs in w is even, then

$$\lim_{x \to \mathcal{R}_w} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{x_1 \cdots x_d} = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}_w} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{x_1 \cdots x_d}$$

2 If the number of minus signs in w is odd, then

$$\lim_{x \to \mathcal{R}_w} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{x_1 \cdots x_d} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_w} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{x_1 \cdots x_d}$$

③ The above also hold for $\mathbb{R}_w \cap \mathbb{Z}$ in place of \mathbb{R}_w .

Conclusion

- Subadditive functions have an important role in several branches of mathematics.
- Componentwise subadditivity seems to be less well studied; nevertheless, it also has important features and applications.
- Future work: systematizing the topic, with greater attention to cases outside real analysis (e.g., functions on generic groups).

Conclusion

- Subadditive functions have an important role in several branches of mathematics.
- Componentwise subadditivity seems to be less well studied; nevertheless, it also has important features and applications.
- Future work: systematizing the topic, with greater attention to cases outside real analysis (e.g., functions on generic groups).

Thank you for your attention!